

**From:** J. Marvin Herndon [mailto:mherndon@san.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 3:42 PM  
**To:** 'Dalmeet Singh'  
**Cc:** 'J. Marvin Herndon'  
**Subject:** RE: Interview for Retraction Watch  
**Importance:** High

Dear Dalmeet Singh,

I will answer your questions and will, at the end of my statement, copy the email I received from Frontiers in Public Health along with my response. You may understand from the links I provided that persons, presumably government employees or government contractors, are engaged in a systematic activity to deceive the scientific community and the public about the serious human and environmental health risks of spraying a fine-grained polluting substance into the lower atmosphere, which mixes with the air we breathe.

My second scientific paper, published and retracted on the basis of false statements nearly a year ago, presented two lines of evidence that coal fly ash, the toxic waste product of coal-burning utilities is the substance being sprayed into the air we breathe. The present paper presents three independent lines of evidence pointing to coal fly ash as the aerosolized particulate matter. It also points to the possibility that toxic methylmercury and ozone-killing compounds are being added to the environment.

You may understand that any organization that would engage in harming humanity on such a wide scale would not hesitate to lie and coerce editors to retract public health information. At some point, I posit, those who ordered and conducted the spraying will face court justice for crimes against humanity. It is a safe bet that all such defendants will claim ignorance of adverse health effects. The concerted actions aimed at retraction, however, will be their undoing; if there were no known health concerns, there would be no need to deceive the public about health dangers by forcing retraction.

I hope I have answered your questions. You as a reporter, and me as a scientist should tell the truth. I do and I assume you will also. More questions? Just ask.

All best,

Marvin Herndon

**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 10:40 AM  
**To:** 'Frontiers Editorial Office'  
**Cc:** 'J. Marvin Herndon'; Judi Krzyzanowski  
**Subject:** RE: Recent issues with your article "Human and Environmental Dangers Posed by Ongoing Global Tropospheric Aerosolized Particulates for Weather Modification"  
**Importance:** High

Dear Dr. Soulière,

I consider retracting a peer-reviewed, published article highly unethical if it is done without first presenting the allegations to the author for his response. You might reasonably expect that those who are endangering the public health by covertly spraying a toxic material (coal fly ash) into the air we breathe would take any measures at their disposal to prevent the public from being made aware of that activity. Common sense should tell you that.

When I published the first evidence of that activity in Current Science, the editor received a suite of complaints and a demand for retraction. The editor asked me to respond in writing, which I did. When the editor asked the complainer permission to publish the complaint, that individual backed off. Please read my response as it is germane to the matter at hand:

<http://NuclearPlanet.com/csresponse.pdf>

When I published the second peer-reviewed article in a public health journal, criticisms were made, but in this case, I was not provided verbatim copies of the criticisms; the journal retracted the paper based upon false statements. Please read details of the false basis used to retract that paper as it is germane to the matter at hand: [http://www.nuclearplanet.com/public\\_rejection.pdf](http://www.nuclearplanet.com/public_rejection.pdf)

In this instance one individual bragged on Facebook that he had personally traveled to visit to the editor, and he took credit for aiding in the retraction.

As an author I must certify that I have no conflicts of interest. The same cannot be said for those professional disinformation people who make false representations to achieve retraction. As should be clear from the statements made in the two previous instances, those who seek to cause retraction do not tell the truth. In the present instance it is fundamentally and ethically wrong to take their statements at face value without giving me an opportunity to refute the allegations made to objective and open minded referees.

But an even more ethically grievous action is to unwarrantedly hide from the scientific community and the public evidence of a global assault on public and environmental health. That is exactly what Frontiers in Public Health is doing without a fair, balanced, and impartial hearing as to the veracity of the complaints. And you cannot do that without my response to verbatim copies of said complaints.

I suggest that you begin again with your investigations, this time conducting same in an ethical way, with my responses to said complaints. You may understand that the capricious actions undertaken so far, in my view, compromise the integrity of Frontiers in Public Health. And you may understand that the matter will not in any means be closed with such an unwarranted retraction.

Please acknowledge receipt.

Sincerely,

J. Marvin Herndon, Ph.D.

**From:** Dalmeet Singh [<mailto:dalmeets@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 15, 2016 7:19 AM  
**To:** [herndon@nuclearplanet.com](mailto:herndon@nuclearplanet.com)  
**Subject:** Interview for Retraction Watch

Dear Professor Herndon,

I hope this email finds you well. My name is Dalmeet Singh Chawla and I'm a reporter for [Retraction Watch](#).

I'm writing an article about your recent paper in *Frontiers in Public Health*: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpubh.2016.00139/full>

A tweet from the journal says that it is due to issue a statement of concern about your chemtrails paper: <https://twitter.com/FrontiersIn/status/753853830756986880>

What do you have to say about that? Is the paper a copy of your previously published work in the MDPI journal: <http://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/12/8/9375>

Please could you get your answers to me before 11.15am EST? We're looking to publish the story soon.

Best Wishes,

--

**Dalmeet Singh Chawla**  
Reporter, [Retraction Watch](#)  
Center for Scientific Integrity  
London, United Kingdom  
Science Communication MSc  
[www.dalmeets.com](http://www.dalmeets.com)  
Twitter: [@Dalmeets](#)