

From: J. Marvin Herndon [mailto:mherndon@san.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2016 4:33 PM
To: huqanwar@gmail.com; huq@umd.edu
Cc: Frederick Fenter; Frontiers Editorial Office; Judi Krzyzanowski; 'J. Marvin Herndon'; kamila.markram@epfl.ch; henry.markram@epfl.ch
Subject: Determination based upon false information and failure to follow sound scientific principles
Importance: High

Dear Professor Anwar Huq,

You are listed on the following Retraction page as “Edited by” and “Reviewed by”: <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpubh.2016.00156/full>
Presumably, you are responsible for “revealing that the complaints were valid and that the article does not meet the standards of editorial and scientific soundness for Frontiers in Public Health.” Your review is so without scientific merit as to be a sham. You take at face value the critical comments made without ever demanding that the author respond to verbatim criticisms. If you had the author’s response, then you might have some basis upon which to make a determination. But the author was never shown those comments for response, and you do not have the scientific background to determine their validity. Had the author been shown the criticisms, you would have learned that those critical comments are not from well-intentioned scientists, but are part of a concerted operation based upon lies and deception that is aimed at deceiving the public about the aerial particulate spraying. Yes, it has happen three times now. The first time, the editor appropriately asked me to respond in writing, which I did. Read this: <http://nuclearplanet.com/csresponse.pdf>

The lies I addressed in that document were made by the same Andras Szilagyi who complained to Frontiers. Do you think miraculously that he started to tell the truth? No. That is what these people do, lie and deceive. And there are others involved. Open your eyes and your mind. Read the retraction correspondence: <http://www.nuclearplanet.com/retraction.html>

You have, I allege, naively and stupidly, made a bad determination and judgement based upon false information and your failure to follow sound scientific principles, which consequently makes you party to deceiving the public about evidence of a serious public health and environmental health threat. That is shameful behavior. Instead of retracting my paper, you should retract your very flawed and incorrect determination “revealing that the complaints were valid and that the article does not meet the standards of editorial and scientific soundness for Frontiers in Public Health.” The complaints

are not valid. My paper does indeed “meet the standards of editorial and scientific soundness for *Frontiers in Public Health*.” The matter is not closed.

Sincerely,

J. Marvin Herndon, Ph.D.